



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,386	03/20/2001	Christopher Richard Uhlik	15685P093	3491

8791 7590 01/09/2003

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2685

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/813,386

Applicant(s)

Chritopher Richard Uhlik

Examiner

Naghmeh Mehrpour

Art Unit

2685

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2685

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 10/15/02 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 1, 4-8, 11-23, 25,** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fleek et al .(US Patent 5,533,025).

Regarding **Claims 1, 13, 22,** Fleek teaches a method for a communication device to manage resources available to remote user terminals in a communication system (col 4 lines 26-34), the method comprising: a communication device establishing a wireless communication session with a remote user terminal (col 3 lines 15-25), the wireless communication session having associated therewith a first session time limit (col 3 lines 24-36), ( the session time limit is the user ability to access to wireless communication channels to exchange data), the communication device

Art Unit: 2685

detecting a session renewal (session renewal is when the time that mobile retransmit the packet to the base station, it also can be call back of time ), (col 5 lines 40-63), and the communication device altering the first session time limit in response to detecting the session renewal (See figures 1-3, col 5 lines 24-67).

Regarding **Claims 4, 11, 18, 25**, Fleek teaches a method wherein the session renewal is caused by the communication device detecting active data exchange between the remote user terminal and the, base station prior to the lapse of the session time limit (col 5 lines 24-35).

Regarding **Claims 5, 12**, Fleek teaches a method wherein the first and second session time limits are equal in duration (col 5 lines 45-59).

Regarding **Claims 6-7, 14**, Fleek teaches a method wherein the session renewal is received by the communication device from the remote user (See figure 1-3, col 5 lines 27-34).

Regarding **Claims 8, 16**, Fleek teaches a method communication system, a method comprising: a communication device providing a session to a remote user terminal, the session having associated therewith a first session time limit (col 5 lines 47-59); upon lapse of the first session time limit (col 5 lines 47-59), the communication device determining whether a session renewal has been generated; and the communication device, if having determined that a session renewal has been generated, renewing the session for a second session time limit, and if having determined that a session renewal has not been generated, terminating the session (col 5 48-67, col 6 lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding **Claims 15, 17, 23**, Fleek teaches an apparatus wherein the session management means is coupled to the timing mechanism to delay or extend the time limit in response to the predetermined condition (col 5 lines 45-67, col 6 lines 1-5).

Regarding **Claim 19**, Fleek teaches an apparatus wherein the predetermined condition includes detection of network congestion (col 1 lines 25-44).

Regarding **Claims 20-21**, Fleek teaches an apparatus wherein network congestion is in progress and characterized at least in part by a number of channels that are active (Col 1 lines 25-44).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 2-3, 9-10, 24**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Fleek et al. (US Patent 5,533,025) in view of Widegren et al. (US Patent Number 6,374,112).

Regarding **Claims 2-3, 9-10**, Widegren teaches a method wherein the session renewal is caused by a priority status associated with the remote user terminal (col 3 lines 37-56), the Widegren system has a capability that the high priority go through before the call with low priority.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide above teaching of Widegren to Fleek, in order provide more flexible and advance system.

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding **Claim 24**, Widegren teaches an apparatus wherein the time limit is determined by a quality of service parameter of the external entity. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide above teaching of Widegren to Fleek, in order provide better speech service with higher delay tolerance and congestion level.

### **Conclusion**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Rezaliifar et al.** (US Patent 6,408,003) disclose method and apparatus for resolving ambiguity in reception of multiple retransmission

**Corke et al.** (US Patent 6,414,938) disclose method and system for retransmission data package in a communication system having variable data rates

7. **Any responses to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications indented for entry)

**Or:**

(703) 308-6306, (for informal or draft communications, please label

“PROPOSED” or “DRAFT”)

Art Unit: 2685


Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va., sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melody Mehrpour whose telephone number is (703) 308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (first week of bi-week) and Monday through Friday (second week of bi-week) from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempt to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached (703)305-4385.

NM

Dec 31, 2002

  
1/2/03  
LESTER G. KINCAID  
PRIMARY EXAMINER